Gradient Clock Synchronization in Wireless Sensor Networks

Philipp Sommer Roger Wattenhofer



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Time Synchronization is a well-studied Problem

- Time, Clocks, and the Ordering of Events in a Distributed System
 L. Lamport, Communications of the ACM, 1978.
- Internet Time Synchronization: The Network Time Protocol
 D. Mills, IEEE Transactions on Communications, 1991
- Reference Broadcast Synchronization (RBS)
 J. Elson, L. Girod and D. Estrin, OSDI'02
- Timing-sync Protocol for Sensor Networks (TPSN)
 S. Ganeriwal, R. Kumar and M. Srivastava, SenSys'03
- Flooding Time Synchronization Protocol (FTSP)
 M. Maróti, B. Kusy, G. Simon and Á. Lédeczi, SenSys'04
- and many more ...

State-of-the-art time sync protocol for wireless sensor networks

Preview: FTSP vs. GTSP

- Gradient Time Synchronization Protocol (GTSP)
 Details will follow soon
- Network synchronization error (global skew)

Pair-wise synchronization error between any nodes in the network



Preview: FTSP vs. GTSP (2)

- Neighbor Synchronization error (local skew)
 Pair-wise synchronization error between neighboring nodes
- Synchronization error between two *direct* neighbors



Time in Sensor Networks

Global

Local

Local

- Common time is essential for many applications:
- Global Assigning a global timestamp to sensed data/events
 - Co-operation of multiple sensor nodes
 - Precise event localization (e.g., shooter detection)
 - Coordination of wake-up and sleeping times (energy efficiency)





Outline

- ✓ Introduction
- Clock Synchronization Basics
- Gradient Time Synchronization Protocol (GTSP)
- Evaluation
- Conclusions



Sensor Node Clocks

Each node has a hardware clock H(t)

Counter register of the microcontroller Crystal quartz oscillator (e.g., 32kHz, 7.37 MHz) Subject to clock drift (30 ppm)



Each node has a logical clock L(t)

Holds the estimation of the current global time Computed as a function of the current hardware clock *H(t)* Logical clock rate

Clock Synchronization Algorithm

- Exchange messages with current clock value L(t) with others Adjust clock rates and offset Repeat this process frequently
- Uncertainty (jitter) in the message delay

Various sources of errors (deterministic and undeterministic)

Can be reduced (but not eliminated) by timestamping at MAC layer





Theoretical Bounds on the Synchronization Accuracy

Two nodes u and v cannot be synchronized perfectly

Worst-case example:



- Error increases with distance from the reference node
- Lower bound result from theoretical work

Clock error between nodes distance *d* apart depends on the network diameter *D*: $\Omega(d + \frac{\log D}{\log \log D})$

R. Fan and N. Lynch. Gradient Clock Synchronization. In PODC '04: Proceedings of the twenty-third annual ACM symposium on principles of distributed computing, 2004.



Gradient Clock Synchronization

- Global property: Minimize clock error between any two nodes
- Local ("gradient") property: Small clock error between two nodes if the distance between the nodes is small.



Gradient Time Synchronization Protocol (GTSP)

Synchronize with all neighboring nodes
 Broadcast periodic time beacons, e.g., every 30 s
 No reference node necessary



How to synchronize clocks without having a leader?

Follow the node with the fastest/slowest clock?

Idea: Go to the average clock value/rate of all neighbors (including node itself)



Drift and Offset Compensation in GTSP

• Update rule for the logical clock rate:

$$x_i(t_{k+1}) = \frac{\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} x_j(t_k)\right) + x_i(t_k)}{|\mathcal{N}_i| + 1}$$

Update rule for the logical clock offset:

$$\theta_i(t_{k+1}) = \theta_i(t_k) + \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} L_j(t_k) - L_i(t_k)}{|\mathcal{N}_i| + 1}$$

Note: We will jump directly to a higher clock value if the offset exceeds a certain threshold, e.g., 20 µs.

Experimental Evaluation

- Mica2 platform using TinyOS 2.1
 System clock: 7.37 MHz (crystal quartz)
 Hardware clock: System clock divided by 8 = 921 kHz
 Clock granularity of 1 microsecond (1 clock tick ≈ 1 µs)
- Testbed of 20 Mica2 nodes

Base station triggers external events by sending time probe packets Ring topology is enforced by software







Experimental Results

Network synchronization error (global clock skew)

7.7 μ s with FTSP, 14.0 μ s with GTSP

FTSP needs more time to synchronize all nodes after startup



Experimental Results (2)

Neighbor synchronization error (local clock skew)
 5.3 µs with FTSP, 4.0 µs with GTSP



Neighbor Synchronization Error: FTSP vs. GTSP

 FTSP has a large clock error for neighbors with large stretch in the tree (Node 8 and Node 15)



Multi-Hop Time Synchronization in Practice

- Is this really a problem in practice?
 Ring topology of 20 nodes seems to be "artificial"!?
- Finding a tree-embedding with low stretch is hard

In a n = m*m grid you will have two neighbors with a stretch of at least \sqrt{n}

Example: FTSP on a 5x4 grid topology Node 2 and 7 have a distance of 13 hops!





Simulation Results

Simulation of GTSP for larger network topologies
 Network error of ~1 ms for 100 nodes in a line topology
 Neighbor error below 100 µs for the same topology



Conclusions and Future Work

Gradient Time Synchronization Protocol (GTSP)

Distributed time synchronization algorithm (no leader)

Improves the synchronization error between neighboring nodes while still providing precise network-wide synchronization

Bridging the gap between theory and practice

Is there a "perfect" clock synchronization protocol?
 Goal: Minimizing local and global skew at the same time

