On Finding Better Friends in Social Networks

<u>Philipp Brandes</u> Roger Wattenhofer

ETH Zurich – Distributed Computing – www.disco.ethz.ch

How to Choose Friends?



- Not this kind of friends, but actual friends
- Limited, constant number of friends
- Want to maximize global welfare

Overview

- Motivation
- Model
- Global vs Local
- Local vs Local
- Conclusion/Outlook

Model

- Given graph with *n* nodes
- Node v can have k_v friends
- Given symmetric edge qualities $q(u,v) \in [0,1]$
- Welfare of a node u: $\sum_{v \in N(u)} q(u, v)$
- Global welfare: $\sum_{u \in V} \sum_{v \in N(u)} q(u, v)$
- Local, distributed algorithms to find friends
- Local view ℓ



Model

- Node u suggests friendship to v
- Node v can accept
- Only better friends (will reach stable state)
- Round robin activation model



Local vs Global



| q(u,v) | $u \in G_1$ | $u \in G_2$ | $u \in G_B$ |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| $v \in G_1$ | 3 | 1 | ε/2 |
| $v \in G_2$ | 1 | 3 | ε/2 |
| $v \in G_B$ | ε/2 | ε/2 | 3 |

- Welfare achievable by any local algorithm: $O(\varepsilon n)$
- Welfare achievable by optimal, global algorithm: $\Theta(n)$
- Arbitrarily worse than optimum

Local vs Local

• Is there a best local algorithm?



- *e* needs to be created if track is supposed to be explored
- Successful track if when explored yields welfare of $\Omega(n)$



• Any algorithm has to decide whether to explore the track



- Track T_1 is blocked by track T_2
- Combine tracks
- Arbitrarily worse than another local algorithm

Local vs Local

- Execute several algorithms in parallel
- Only constant number of algorithms can be executed



- Concatenate constant number of subgraphs
- Arbitrarily worse than another local algorithm

Local vs Local

- Every node tries to select best friends greedily in the end
- Friendship gets established if both nodes agree
- Can achieve factor 2 approximation compared to best executed algorithm



• Bound is tight

Friends of Friends

- Include friends of friends in quality function
- $Q(u,v):=q(u,v)+c\sum_{x\in N(v)\setminus\{u\}}q(u,x)$
- Leads to asymmetric valuations
 Q(a,b)>Q(a,c)
 Q(b,c)>Q(b,a)
 Q(c,a)>Q(c,b)



- Stable roommate problem
- No stable state

Conclusion/Outlook

- Choosing friends is difficult
- Local algorithms perform arbitrarily worse
- How to generalize these results?
- Which are the conditions under which all local algorithms perform badly?

• Questions?